

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
for the

Western District of Virginia

CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT  
AT LYNCHBURG, VA  
FILEDfor MAR 12 2008  
DANVILLEJOHN E. CORCORAN, CLERK  
BY: *Fay Coleman*  
DEPUTY CLERKUnited States of America )  
v. )  
JEFFREY DEHAN HALL ) Case No: 4:99cr70086-001  
 ) USM No: 08266-084  
Date of Previous Judgment: June 22, 2000 )  
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable) ) Defendant's Attorney**Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of  the defendant  the Director of the Bureau of Prisons  the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

DENIED.  GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 110 months is reduced to time served.

**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)**

Previous Offense Level:	<u>25</u>	Amended Offense Level:	<u>23</u>
Criminal History Category:	<u>VI</u>	Criminal History Category:	<u>VI</u>
Previous Guideline Range:	<u>110</u> to <u>137</u> months	Amended Guideline Range:	<u>92</u> to <u>115</u> months

**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**

- The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- Other (explain):

**III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

The Government has objected to a reduction based on aspects of Defendant's pre- and post-sentencing conduct. Finding that the pre-sentencing conduct is adequately accounted for under the amended guideline range and that the post-sentencing conduct is adequately addressed by Bureau of Prisons procedures, and upon consideration of the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10, the Government's objections are overruled and Defendant's sentence is reduced as provided above.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 6/22/00 shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Order Date: March 12, 2008

*Norman K. Moon*

Judge's signature

Effective Date: March 22, 2008  
(if different from order date)

Norman K. Moon, United States District Judge  
Printed name and title